

Research Article

Estimate of Chitin in Raw Whole Insects

Mark D. Finke*

Mark D. Finke Inc., Rio Verde, Arizona

Insects contain significant amounts of fiber as measured by crude fiber, acid detergent fiber (ADF) or neutral detergent fiber (NDF). It has always been assumed that the fiber in insects represents chitin based on the structural similarity between cellulose and chitin and the fact that the ADF fraction from insects contains nitrogen. In this study, a number of insect species that are raised commercially as food for insectivores were analyzed for moisture, crude protein (nitrogen \times 6.25), fat, ash, NDF, ADF, and amino acids. Additionally, the ADF fraction was analyzed for nitrogen and amino acids to determine if proteins might be present in the ADF fraction. The ADF fraction contained a significant amount of amino acids accounting for 9.3-32.7% of the ADF (by weight). The presence of amino acids in the ADF fraction means that using ADF to estimate insect chitin results in an overestimation of insect chitin content. Using ADF adjusted for its amino acid content, the estimated chitin content of these insect species ranged from 2.7-49.8 mg/kg (as is) and 11.6-137.2 mg/kg (dry matter basis). Additionally, these data suggest that for the species measured here the amount of chitin nitrogen is quite small (as a % of total nitrogen) and that crude protein (nitrogen \times 6.25) provides a reasonable estimate of the true protein for most species of insects. Zoo Biol 26:105-115, 2007. © 2007 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

Keywords: insect; chitin; ADF; protein; amino acids

INTRODUCTION

Insects serve as a food source for a variety of animals. Published studies show that whole insects contain variable but significant amounts of fiber as measured by crude fiber (CF), acid detergent fiber (ADF), and neutral detergent fiber (NDF) [Finke, 1984, 2002; Pennino et al., 1991; Barker et al., 1998]. For plant-based foods the makeup of the various components of these fibers is well established. ADF is composed typically of

*Correspondence to: Mark D. Finke, Mark D. Finke Inc., 17028 E. Wildcat Drive, Rio Verde, AZ 85263. E-mail: mdfinke1116@desertinet.com

Received 19 May 2006; Revised 1 December 2006; Accepted 29 December 2006

DOI 10.1002/zoo.20123

Published online 6 April 2007 in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com).

© 2007 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

cellulose and lignins whereas NDF is composed of cellulose, lignin, and hemicellulose [Van Soest and Robertson, 1977]. Although insects contain significant amounts of both ADF and NDF, the components that make up these fibers are unknown. Various authors have suggested that the fiber in insects represents chitin because chitin (linear polymer of β -(1 \rightarrow 4) *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine units) is similar structurally to cellulose (linear polymer of β -(1 \rightarrow 4)-D-glucopyranose units) and because the ADF fraction has been shown to contain nitrogen [Finke, 1984, 2002; Barker et al., 1998]. Several evaluations of the nutritional value of various shellfish products (shrimp meal, crab meal, and crayfish meal) have concluded that either ADF or CF provide reasonable estimates of shellfish chitin [Lovell et al., 1968; Watkins et al., 1982; Stelmock et al., 1985]. Studies that have reported the digestibility of chitin by various species of birds in reality actually determine the digestibility of ADF or CF fractions of shellfish or shellfish meal [Jackson et al., 1992; Weiser et al., 1997; Akaki and Duke, 1999].

Chitin exists rarely in a pure form in nature but instead is usually in a complex matrix with other compounds. The cuticle of crustaceans such as crabs, shrimp, and crayfish is composed usually of chitin in a matrix with protein and minerals (mostly calcium) [Johnson and Peniston, 1982, No et al., 1989]. In contrast, the cuticle of insects is composed of chitin in a matrix with cuticular proteins, lipids, and other compounds [Kramer et al., 1995; Nation, 2002]. Although most insects contain only insignificant amounts of minerals in their cuticle, there are some species such as the pupae of the face fly (Musca autumnalis) and larvae of the black soldier fly (Hermetia *illucens*) that contain significant amounts of calcium in their cuticle [Dashefsky et al., 1976; Roseland et al., 1985; Tomberlin et al., 2002]. Although ADF or CF may be an accurate estimate of shellfish chitin, the structural differences between insect cuticle and shellfish cuticle means that using ADF or CF may not result in an accurate estimate of insect chitin. Little quantitative data exists concerning the chitin content of whole insects but using an enzymatic assay Cauchie [2002] reported that aquatic insect larvae contained between 2.9–10.1% chitin on a dry weight basis. Klasing [1998] stated that the proportion of chitin in arthropods ranges from 18–60% but because no references are cited, the data is impossible to verify. The values in Klasing are likely too high for insects based on quantitative analysis of their cuticle and the fact that chitin is found only in the insects endocuticle and exocuticle [Kramer et al., 1995, Nation, 2002].

Dried whole honey bees contained 11.1% chitin when analyzed using an assay published for shellfish [Ozimek et al., 1985]. Using this value to correct for the nitrogen in the chitin the authors reported that the corrected protein content of dried honeybees (52.0%) was 8.5% lower than the crude protein content (56.8%). Interestingly the sum of the amino acids (and neither cystine nor tryptophan were measured) was 106% that of the corrected crude protein content suggesting that chitin in honey bees as measured by this assay contained some amino acids. In this study, a number of species of insects used commonly as food for insectivores are analyzed for ADF, nitrogen, and amino acids to determine the contribution of amino acids to insect ADF.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Crickets (Acheta domesticus; nymphs and adults), waxworms (Galleria mellonella; larvae), mealworm adults (Tenebrio molitor; beetles), and giant mealworms (Tenebrio molitor; larvae) were obtained from Timberline Industries

Estimate of Chitin in Raw Whole Insects 107

(Marion, IL). Silkworms (*Bombyx mori*; larvae) were obtained from Mulberry Farms Waxworm Tarvae, Inc. (Fallbrook, CA). Crickets, adult mealworms/beetles, giant mealworm larvae, waxworm larvae, and silkworm larvae were all fasted for 24 hr to clear their gastrointestinal tract of any residual food. Bee brood (*Aphis melifera*) were obtained from the United States Department of Agriculture bee laboratory in Tucson, Arizona. The brood consisted mostly of bee pupae but also contained some mature larvae (<10%). All samples were frozen for 48 hr, packed in dry ice and shipped to a commercial analytical laboratory (Covance Laboratories, Madison, WI) for nutrient analysis. The data presented is from a single sample.

Whole insects were analyzed for nitrogen (Association of Official Analytical Chemists [AOAC] method = 955.04), moisture (AOAC method = 926.08), fat (AOAC method = 945.02), ash (AOAC method = 923.03), amino acids (AOAC method = 982.30), ADF, and NDF [United States Department of Agriculture, 1970; Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 1995]. ADF is the average of two separate assays; all others are the result of a single analysis. The ADF fractions were analyzed for nitrogen (AOAC method = 955.04) and most of the amino acids (AOAC method = 982.30). The amino acids methionine, cystine, and tryptophan were not determined because it was thought that the acid hydrolysis step used to determine ADF would result in the partial or complete destruction of these amino acids resulting in artificially low values for these amino acids. The proximate and amino acid analysis of whole mealworm adults and bee brood shown have been reported previously [Finke, 2002, 2005].

RESULTS

Proximate analysis of whole insects is shown in Table 1. As expected the primary components of most insects was moisture, protein, and fat with smaller amounts of ash and fiber (both ADF and NDF).

The amino acid composition of whole insects and ADF fractions are shown in Table 2. As expected the amino acid patterns for whole insects and ADF fractions were quite different. The amino acid pattern found in the ADF fraction likely reflects the specific cuticular proteins present in insects. Many of these proteins are rich in specific amino acids that helps give them their unique properties.

DISCUSSION

There are numerous proximate analyses of a variety of both wild caught and cultured insects. The values reported here for moisture, protein, fat, ash, ADF, and NDF are similar to those reported earlier for these species [Pennino et al., 1991, Barker et al., 1998, Finke, 2002]. The ADF content of adult mealworms is much higher than that for the other species but is comparable to values obtained for adult Mormon crickets (*Anabrus simplex*) [Finke, 1984].

The amino acid patterns reported are consistent with the amino acid profiles published previously for these species [Finke, 2002]. The primary exceptions are the values for the amino acids methionine and cystine for waxworm larvae, giant mealworm larvae, and adult crickets. In these three instances, even after corrections for minor differences in crude protein content, methionine, and cystine, values here are 15-25% (adult crickets), 25-28% (giant mealworm larvae), and 40-43%

			Giant				
	Cricket nymphs	Adult crickets	mealworm larvae	Mealworm adults ^a	Waxworm larvae	Silkworm larvae	Bee brood ^b
Moisture	752.0	682.0	648.0	637.0	585.0	874.0	768.0
Crude protein ^c	175.0	225.0	174.0	237.0	161.0	88.0	94.0
Fat	44.0	59.0	134.0	54.0	243.0	12.0	47.0
ADF	22.5	26.0	23.0	74.0	21.0	9.0	3.0
NDF	37.0	52.0	33.0	115.5	37.0	7.5	2.0
Ash	12.0	16.0	10.0	12.0	9.0	14.0	8.0
^a Data from Fink	te (2002).						
^b Data from Fink	ce (2005).						
°Crude protein n	neasured as N	$\times 6.25.$					

00
mg
I e I
sa
value
all
ts (
insec
le j
who
of
fraction e
OF
Ψ
the
and
s ts
inse
ole
W
of
vsis
analy
nia
nor
amr
and
acid
n 0
Ami
Ņ
EE
B
Ţ

	Cricket 1	nymphs	Adult c	rickets	Giant me larv	alworm ae	Mealwon	n adults	Waxworn	n larvae	Silkworn	ı larvae	Bee br	poo
	Whole	ADF	Whole	ADF	Whole	ADF	Whole	ADF	Whole	ADF	Whole	ADF	Whole	ADF
	insect	fraction	insect	fraction	insect	fraction	insect	fraction	insect	fraction	insect	fraction	insect	fraction
Alanine	17.7	15.7	17.3	13.8	14.0	13.6	18.1	26.3	11.5	41.7	3.6	9.3	4.5	3.7
Arginine	12.4	3.3	12.9	5.6	10.5	4.7	10.2	8.7	8.2	7.5	3.8	< 0.1	4.0	3.3
Aspartic acid	13.9	4.6	19.1	13.1	16.4	9.0	16.6	11.8	14.9	18.2	6.1	5.3	7.6	1.3
Cystine	1.6	ΝA	2.2	ΝA	1.9	NA	1.6	NA	2.1	NA	0.8	NA	2.0	NA
Glutamic acid	20.5	10.1	23.5	25.5	23.1	14.1	22.8	15.6	19.5	18.7	9.0	8.1	12.9	1.9
Glycine	10.6	8.2	10.2	6.9	10.4	11.3	20.0	29.7	9.3	29.3	5.1	7.7	4.1	14.1
Histidine	4.5	7.2	5.1	4.4	6.6	6.9	6.8	11.9	3.6	2.9	2.6	3.6	2.0	7.0
Isoleucine	7.1	2.7	8.2	10.8	8.6	9.4	10.3	12.7	6.7	15.1	2.9	2.9	4.3	12.8
Leucine	12.7	5.5	15.0	17.0	14.3	11.8	19.6	21.4	11.4	19.9	4.3	4.0	6.6	0.1
Lysine	10.9	4.9	11.5	9.2	11.3	5.9	10.5	4.9	9.2	6.0	4.4	3.6	5.8	21.9
Methionine	2.7	NA	4.4	NA	3.4	NA	3.0	NA	4.4	NA	1.1	NA	2.0	NA
Phenylalanine	5.6	2.1	6.8	7.3	7.6	7.0	6.2	4.9	6.0	8.3	2.5	1.3	3.3	3.1
Proline	10.7	11.7	12.2	14.6	12.9	12.0	15.0	18.6	12.4	11.0	3.1	< 0.1	5.7	0.1
Serine	7.5	4.0	11.7	12.5	9.5	8.0	9.8	9.2	12.4	35.6	3.4	5.1	3.3	5.8
Threonine	6.8	2.7	7.0	5.6	7.1	5.0	8.1	7.8	5.8	4.8	2.5	3.4	3.1	1.6
Tryptophan	1.1	NA	1.7	NA	1.8	NA	2.6	NA	1.4	NA	0.6	NA	0.9	NA
Tyrosine	11.0	6.8	9.9	10.8	13.9	14.4	7.9	11.6	8.7	11.4	3.0	3.9	4.1	3.5
Valine	10.5	12.9	10.9	15.7	12.0	15.8	15.0	25.5	8.4	16.3	3.5	9.1	4.9	5.9
Ammonia	3.5	45.5	4.0	27.6	3.6	26.5	4.5	18.7	2.8	17.4	2.4	19.9	1.9	44.1
ADF fraction.	analvsis c	of ADF re:	sidue: N∕	A. not ana	lvzed: WI	nole insect	t. analvsis	of whole	insects.					
^a Data reported	previousl	y (Finke,	2002).				•							
^b Data reported	previous	ly (Finke,	2005).											

Zoo Biology DOI 10.1002/zoo

(waxworm pupae) higher than those previously reported [Finke, 2002]. The reason for these higher values is unknown. The analytical data presented here supports previous data from both chemical analyses and animal feeding trials that suggest that for growing rats, insects are typically first limiting in total sulfur amino acids (methionine and cystine) [Goulet et al., 1978; Ryan et al., 1983; Ozimek et al., 1985; Finke et al., 1987; Onifade et al., 2001; Finke, 2002].

Various authors have suggested that a significant amount of nitrogen from insects might be contributed by chitin and so estimating protein using nitrogen \times 6.25 might result in an overestimate of an insect's true protein content (i.e., the sum of the amino acids). In this study, however, the protein recovery (by weight as amino acids) for these species is relatively high with an average of 92.4%(range = 73.5 - 110.6%). Of note is the relatively low recovery for silkworm larvae seen here (73.5%) that is similar to that reported previously [Finke, 2002]. The reason for the consistently low recovery of nitrogen as amino acids from silkworm larvae is unknown. In most cases when insects are analyzed for amino acids and where all amino acids are reported the relatively high recovery of nitrogen as amino acids suggests the nitrogen from chitin is a relatively small fraction of the total nitrogen content of the insect. Chitin is present only in the insect's exocuticle and endocuticle and in most insects studied, protein, not chitin, is the predominant compound in the cuticle [Kramer et al., 1995]. Additionally when mealworm exuviae were analyzed for amino acids 65% of the exuviae by weight was accounted for by the amino acids [Finke, unpublished data]. All of these data support the fact that chitin nitrogen represents a fairly small fraction of the insect's total nitrogen. Although detailed amino acid analysis is preferred, it seems that nitrogen $\times 6.25$ provides for a reasonable estimate of total protein for most insects.

From the amino acid composition of the ADF fraction the percentage of ADF that is composed of amino acids can be estimated. As seen in Table 3 an average of 16.6% (range = 6.7% [silkworms] to 32.7% [adult mealworms]) of the ADF by weight is composed of amino acids. By correcting for the amino acid content of the ADF fraction and assuming the remainder of the ADF fraction is chitin a more accurate estimate of insect chitin content can be calculated (Table 3). In reality, this estimate is probably somewhat high because the amino acids methionine, cystine, and tryptophan were not measured in the ADF fraction. For some of the softer bodied insects like silkworm larvae, bee brood, and cricket nymphs the low amino content of the ADF fraction means the estimated chitin content is similar to the value for ADF. In contrast for most of the other species measured there is a significant discrepancy between chitin estimated via ADF and amino acid corrected chitin content with the greatest difference shown for adult mealworms/beetles. These data show that the average chitin content for these species is estimated to be 19.7 mg/ kg as is (range = 2.7 mg/kg [bee brood] to 49.8 mg/kg [adult mealworms]) and 65.6 mg/kgkg on a dry matter basis (range = 11.6 mg/kg [bee brood] to 137.2 mg/kg [adult mealworms]). These values are similar to those for the larvae of aquatic insects (range = 29 to 101 mg/kg dry matter basis) using an enzymatic method [Cauchie, 2002].

There are suggestions in the literature and numerous popular press reports that soft-bodied insects like silkworm larvae contain less chitin and are more digestible than other commonly used feeder insects [Frye and Calvert, 1989]. The data presented shows that on a dry matter basis the estimated chitin content of silkworm larvae is similar to that of crickets (both nymphs and adults) and mealworm larvae.

			Giant				
	Cricket nymphs	Adult crickets	mealworm larvae	Mealworm adults	Waxworm larvae	Silkworm larvae	Bee brood
ADF (mg/kg)	22.5	26.0	23.0	74.0	21.0	9.0	3.0
Recovery of ADF (as amino acids) % ^a	10.3	17.3	14.9	32.7	24.7	6.7	9.3
Estimated chitin (as is mg/kg) ^b AQ5	20.2	21.5	19.6	49.8	15.8	8.4	2.7
Estimated chitin (dry matter basis mg/kg)	81.5	67.6	55.7	137.2	38.1	66.6	11.6
^a Calculated by summing the weight of the an ^b Calculated by multiplying insect ADF time:	mino acids in . s non-amino a	ADF and divid cid ADF conte	ing that by the nt.	total weight of	ADF.		

content
chitin
insect
estimated
, and
ADF
of
content
acid
amino
content,
ADF
<i></i> е
ABLE

Estimate of Chitin in Raw Whole Insects 111

112 Finke

All of the chitin estimates obtained in this study and those for insects in the literature are well below the values reported by Klasing [1998]. The extremely high amino acid content of the ADF fraction for adult mealworms also supports the current thinking that the hardness of insect cuticle is primarily a function of the degree of sclerotization and the amino acid content of the cuticular proteins rather than its chitin content [Nation, 2002].

The amino acids measured accounted for an average of 31.5% (range = 10.0%[bee brood] to 55.1% [adult mealworms]) of the nitrogen found in the ADF fraction. As expected, the recovery of ADF nitrogen with ammonia is much higher than recoveries without ammonia (Table 4). Accurate amino analysis is a result of three separate analyses. Most of the amino acids are analyzed after protein hydrolysis in 6 N hydrochloric acid. This procedure results in the complete destruction of tryptophan and, unless protected, the partial destruction of methionine and cystine. In addition, this procedure converts any glutamine and asparagine to glutamatic acid and aspartic acid, respectively. Typically the ammonia reported during amino acid analysis is thought to be generated by the destruction of these amino acids and the conversion of glutamine and asparagine to glutamatic acid and aspartic acid. Calculations show that even if all of the glutamic acid, aspartic acid, methionine, cystine, and tryptophan found in the insects whole body were attributed to the ADF fraction this could still not account for all of the ammonia recovered in the ADF fraction. This suggests that the bulk of the ammonia recovered in the ADF fraction is from non-protein sources and is likely the result of the breakdown of chitin during acid hydrolysis. Although ammonia is used typically in calculating amino acid recoveries, including ammonia when calculating amino acid recovery from the ADF fraction seems to be unwarranted.

The amount of nitrogen recovered in the ADF fraction (as a % of whole body nitrogen) averaged 6.0% and ranged from 1.9% (silkworm larvae) to 15.2% (adult mealworm/beetles) (Table 4). These values are similar to those reported by Barker et al. [1998] for mealworm larvae, crickets (both nymphs and adults), waxworm larvae, superworm larvae (*Zophobas morio*), and fruit flies (*Drosophila melanogaster*). Their data showed ADF nitrogen values (as a % of total nitrogen) ranged from 5.2–11.1%. In this study, however, when the nitrogen from the amino acids in the ADF is subtracted out the non-protein ADF nitrogen accounted for an average of only 3.7% of the whole body nitrogen (range = 1.3–6.8%). Barker et al. [1998] did not analyze the ADF fraction for amino acids so the amount of non-protein ADF nitrogen can not be determined from that study. These calculations provide additional evidence that the amount of nitrogen in chitin is a relatively small percentage of the total nitrogen in insects and that 6.25 is a reasonable estimate of the protein content of most insects.

These data do not address the issue of protein and amino acid availability especially for the amino acids from proteins that are either highly sclerotized or which may be bound to chitin. Quantitative data on the digestibility of nitrogen/ crude protein of insects are limited. The earliest report by Phelps et al. [1975] showed relatively poor protein digestibility of fried termites with values ranging from 40–50%. The high heat during frying may have affected protein digestibility. More recent work using dried whole insects have resulted in somewhat higher values (62% for dried honey bees; 73% and 75% for dried mealworm larvae, and 85% for dried larvae of the mopanie moth, *Conimbrassia belina*) [Goulet et al., 1978; Dreyer and

	Cricket nymphs	Adult crickets	Giant mealworm larvae	Mealworm adults	Waxworm larvae	Silkworm larvae	Bee brood
Whole body N (mg/g) ADF-N (mg/g)	28.0 55.0	36.0 87 9	27.8 64 4	37.9 78.0	25.8 83 5	14.1 30.0	15.0 143.0
Recovery of ADF N	27.3	25.5	31.1	55.1	40.7	30.7	10.0
(as amino acids) % ^a Recovery of ADF N (as amino acids+ammonia) % ^b	96.3	51.3	64.9	74.8	57.8	85.2	35.3
ADF-N (% of whole body N) % ^c	4.4	6.3	5.3	15.2	6.8	1.9	2.4
Non protein ADF-N (% of whole body N) % ^d	3.2	4.7	3.7	6.8	4.0	1.3	2.2
^a Calculated by summing the nitroge ^b Calculated by summing the nitroge ^c Calculated by dividing ADF-N by ^d Calculated by dividing ADF-N – A	en in the amino aci en in the amino aci whole body N. ADF amino acid N	Is from the A is plus ammedy by whole bo	ADF and dividin onia from the AJ dy N.	g that by the Al DF and dividing	DF-N. ; that by the AI	DF-N.	

TABLE 4. Nitrogen content of whole insects and ADF fraction and recovery of ADF and ADF nitrogen as amino acids

114 Finke

Wehmeyer, 1982; Ozimek et al., 1985; van Tets and Hulbert, 1999]. Based on the results presented here, one might expect that the digestibility of protein from insects might be highly variable. Insects that have a larger proportion of their amino acids in the ADF fraction might be expected to have a lower nitrogen/protein digestibility than those with a lower proportion of their amino acids in the ADF fraction.

CONCLUSION

In summary, this study suggests that the fiber content of insects (measured as ADF) consists not only of chitin but also significant amounts of amino acids that likely represent cuticular proteins. Insects with "harder" cuticles do not seem to contain significantly more chitin than softer bodied insects but rather their ADF fraction seems to contain a much higher proportion of amino acids than softer bodied insects. These data also suggest that although amino acid analysis are the preferred means of determining total protein content, nitrogen content $\times 6.25$ (crude protein) is a reasonable estimate of the true protein content for most of the insect species studied.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank T. Goodman (Timberline Industries), B. Burchall (Mulberry Farms), and the USDA laboratory in Tucson, Arizona for kindly providing the insects used in this study. I would also like to thank J. Marlett, N.J. Benevenga, E. Dierenfeld, and C. Sheppard for their valuable comments on an earlier draft of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Akaki C, Duke GE. 1999. Apparent chitin digestibilities in the Eastern Screech Owl (*Otus asio*) and the American Kestrel (*Falco sparverius*). J Exp Zool 283:387–93.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 1995. Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington, DC: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- Barker D, Fitzpatrick MP, Dierenfeld ES. 1998. Nutrient composition of selected whole invertebrates. Zoo Biol 17:123–34.
- Cauchie HM. 2002. Chitin production by arthropods in the hydrosphere. Hydrobiologia 470: 63–96.
- Dashefsky HS, Anderson DL, Tobin EN, Peters TM. 1976. Face fly pupae: a potential feed supplement for poultry. Environ Entomol 5:680–82.
- Dreyer JJ, Wehmeyer AS. 1982. On the nutritive value of Mopanie worms. S Afr J Sci 78:33–5.
- Finke MD. 1984. The use of nonlinear models to evaluate the nutritional quality of insect protein. [Dissertation]. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin.
- Finke MD, DeFoliart GR, Benevenga NJ. 1987. Use of a four-parameter logistic model to evaluate the protein quality of mixtures of

Mormon cricket meal and corn gluten meal in rats. J Nutr 117:1740–50.

- Finke MD. 2002. Complete nutrient composition of selected invertebrates commonly fed to insectivores. Zoo Biol 21:269–85.
- Finke MD. 2005. Nutrient composition of bee brood and it's potential as human food. Ecol Food Nutr 44:257–70.
- Frye FL, Calvert CC. 1989. Preliminary information on the nutritional content of mulberry silk moth (*Bombyx mori*) larvae. J Zoo Wildl Med 20:73–5.
- Goulet G, Mullier P, Sinave P, Brisson GJ. 1978. Nutritional evaluation of dried *Tenebrio molitor* larvae in the rat. Nutr Rep Int 18:11–5.
- Jackson S, Place AR, Seiderer LJ. 1992. Chitin digestion and assimilation by seabirds. Auk 109: 758–70.
- Johnson EL, Peniston QP. 1982. Utilization of shell waste for chitin and chitosan production. In: Martin RE, Flick GH, Hebard CE, Ward DR, eds. Chemistry and biochemistry of marine food products. West Port, CT: AVI Publishing Co. p 514–22.
- Klasing KC. 1998. Comparative avian nutrition. New York: CABI Publishing.

Estimate of Chitin in Raw Whole Insects 115

- Kramer KJ, Hopkins TL, Schaefer J. 1995. Applications of solids NMR to the analysis of insect sclerotized structures. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 25:1067–80.
- Lovell RT, Lafleur JR, Hoslins FH. 1968. Nutritional value of freshwater crayfish meal. J Agric Food Chem 16:204–7.
- Nation JL. 2002. Insect physiology and biochemistry. Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- No HK, Meyers SP, Lee KS. 1989. Isolation and characterization of chitin from crawfish shell waste. J Agric Food Chem 37:575–9.
- Onifade AA, Oduguwa OO, Fanimo AO, Abu AO, Olutunde TO, Arije A, Babatunde GM. 2001. Effects of supplemental methionine and lysine on the nutritional value of housefly larvae meal (*Musca domestica*) fed to rats. Bioresource Technol 78:191–4.
- Ozimek L, Sauer WC, Kozikowski V, Ryan JK, Jorgensen H, Jelen P. 1985. Nutritive value of protein extracted from honey bees. J Food Sci 50: 1327–9.
- Pennino M, Dierenfeld ES, Behler JL. 1991. Retinol, alpha-tocopherol and proximate nutrient composition of invertebrates used as feed. Int Zoo Yearb 30:143–9.
- Phelps RJ, Struthers JK, Mayo SJL. 1975. Investigations into the nutritive value of *Macrotermes falciger* (Isoptera:Termitidae). Zool Afr 10: 123–32.
- Roseland CR, Grodowitz MJ, Kramer KJ, Hopkins TL, Broce AB. 1985. Stabilization of

mineralized and sclerotized puparial cuticle in muscid flies. Insect Biochem 15:521-8.

- Ryan JK, Jelen P, Sauer WC. 1983. Alkaline extraction of protein from spent honey bees. J Food Sci 48:886–8.
- Stelmock RA, Hisby FM, Brundage AL. 1985. Application of Van Soest acid detergent fiber method for analysis of shellfish chitin. J Dairy Sci 68: 1502–6.
- Tomberlin JK, Sheppard DC, Joyce JA. 2002. Selected life-history traits of the black soldier flies (Diperta:Stratiomyidae) reared on three artificial diets. Ann Entomol Soc Am 95: 379–86.
- United States Department of Agriculture. 1970. Forage and Fiber Analysis, Handbook #379.8. Washington, DC: United States Department of Agriculture.
- Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB. 1977. What is fibre and fibre in food? Nutr Rev 35:12–22.
- Van Tets IG, Hulbert AJ. 1999. A comparison of the nitrogen requirements of the eastern pygmy possum, *Cercartetus nanus*, on a pollen and on a mealworm diet. Physiol Biochem Zool 72: 127–37.
- Watkins BE, Adair J, Oldfield JE. 1982. Evaluation of shrimp and king crab processing byproducts as feed supplements for mink. J Anim Sci 55:578–89.
- Weiser JI, Porth A, Mertens D, Karasov WH. 1997. Digestion of chitin by northern bobwhites and American robins. Condor 99:554–6.